

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN W. FLITTER

S. C. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

S. C. PUBLIC PERMICE COLLEGES M

ON BEHALF OF

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 1999-002-E

- 1 STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. Q.
- 2 John W. Flitter, 111 Research Drive, Columbia, South Carolina. A.



- 4 I am Manager of the Fossil Hydro Procurement Department of South Carolina Electric
- 5 & Gas Company (SCE&G).

DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND YOUR BUSINESS 6 Q.

7 EXPERIENCE.

8

- I graduated from the University of South Carolina in 1966 with a Bachelor of Science A.
- 9 Degree in Business Administration; majoring in Accounting. I was employed by South
- 10 Carolina Electric & Gas Company in September, 1966 in the Budget and Statistic
- 11 Department. I have held supervisory and management positions with the Company
- 12 beginning in 1973 that include Supervisor-Accounting Special Studies, Manager-Cost
- 13 Studies and Load Research, Manager-Rate Regulation, Manager-Fossil Fuel Supply and
- 14 my current position of Manager-Fossil Hydro Procurement. I have previously
- 15 presented testimony on numerous occasions before this Commission and the Federal
- 16 Energy Regulation Commission for both South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and
- 17 South Carolina Generating Company (GENCO).
- SUMMARIZE YOUR DUTIES AS MANAGER OF FOSSIL HYDRO 18 Q.
- 19 PROCUREMENT AS THEY RELATE TO FOSSIL FUEL.

RETURN DATE:

- A. I am responsible for the planning, development, analysis and implementation of systemwide strategies for the purchase and delivery of fossil fuels for electric generation in a
 manner consistent with the Company's objective to obtain the greatest ultimate value
 for each dollar spent, consistent with maximum reliability. I also perform these
 functions for South Carolina Generating Company's (GENCO) Williams Station.
- 6 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- 7 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe procurement and delivery activities for 8 fossil fuel used in electric generation for SCE&G and GENCO.
- 9 Q. WHAT ARE THE OBJECTIVES OF THE COMPANY'S FUEL PURCHASING
 10 PRACTICES?
- 11 A. The objectives of the Company's fossil fuel purchasing practices are to provide a
 12 reliable supply, the required quality, and reasonable prices of fossil fuels. These three
 13 objectives are inter-related.
- 14 Q. HOW DOES THE COMPANY ASSURE THE SUPPLY OF COAL NECESSARY

 15 TO ENABLE THE COMPANY TO PROVIDE RELIABLE SERVICE?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

The strategy to meet this objective is developed based upon our projected burn levels, our anticipated coal inventory levels and the anticipated availability and price of coal in the marketplace. Of course, maximum assurance of supply could be achieved hypothetically by securing long term contracts for our total requirements. However, doing so would prevent the Company from taking advantage of potentially favorable supply and price changes in the short-term and spot markets. As an effective supplement to our long-term agreements, our short-term contracts have enabled us to assure consistent supplies over a one or two-year period, combining assurance of supply with an ability to meet changing market conditions. In addition, we have maintained an active role in the spot market, making purchases from reliable suppliers to meet our

requirements not satisfied by our contracts. Furthermore, our long-term contracts contain variable quantity provisions which enable the Company to increase or decrease contract quantities under certain conditions. This assures us that additional coal will be available under those contracts should it be in our best interest to expand our purchases under them. This also allows us to decrease purchases should our participation in the short-term or spot markets be more advantageous. Finally, we strive to maintain an average coal inventory equal to approximately two (2) months anticipated consumption. This inventory serves several functions. It serves to moderate the overall cost to our ratepayers, while, at the same time, it also protects us against problems in availability, production and deliverability of coal. In some cases, we rely upon inventory to meet supply requirements because of unfavorable market conditions at the time, although such reliance must be exercised with careful consideration of future requirements and operating conditions.

Α.

14 Q. HOW DOES THE COMPANY ACHIEVE THE OBJECTIVE OF AN ASSURED 15 QUALITY OF THE COAL IT NEEDS?

The Company's contracts for coal supplies and our orders for spot market purchases of coal identify the quality specifications of the coal which it requires. Quality characteristics include: BTU content, moisture content, ash content, ash fusion temperature, volatile matter, fixed carbon, sulfur content, grindability and size. Our contracts for coal supplies and our purchase orders for spot market purchases include upward cost adjustment provisions for shipments which exceed the guaranteed BTU specification and downward cost adjustment provisions for failure of the shipments to meet the guaranteed BTU content. Our newer long term contracts also provide for reduced sulfur content beginning January 1, 2000 as part of our strategy for Phase II of the Clean Air Act. With respect to quality characteristics, our contracts provide for

cancellation or rejection, at our option, for failure of the supplier to meet any of the specifications identified in the contract. With respect to spot market deliveries, the failure of the supplier to meet any of the required specifications can result in the cancellation or rejection of deliveries under the purchase order.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

A.

HOW DOES THE COMPANY EVALUATE THAT PART OF THE COMPANY'S PURCHASING OBJECTIVE RELATED TO "REASONABLE PRICE"?

In our analysis of fuel purchasing, the reasonableness of the price which we pay for coal cannot be realistically separated from the assurance of an adequate supply of coal meeting our quality specifications. Price is a concept contingent on supply, quality and location and is ultimately related to the value of the coal in the operation of our generating plants, expressed on the basis of cost per MBTU. Price incorporates the cost of fuel, pricing mechanisms and transportation, and must be evaluated under market conditions which are current at the time of the establishment of the price. For example, under certain market conditions, the establishment of a firm price per ton for coal may be preferable to a price which is adjusted periodically based on independent indexes. Under other conditions, the periodic adjustment mechanism may be preferable. Furthermore, it can be considered advantageous to have a variety of pricing mechanisms among coal contracts in order to mitigate or avoid the effects on prices produced by changes in market conditions or indexes which would be exaggerated if pricing mechanisms were identical in all coal contracts. Another consideration in pricing is the information concerning various market conditions which can be useful in evaluating the reasonableness of price.

conditions which can be useful in evaluating the reasonableness of price. We continually review published data from a variety of public and governmental sources, and are in continuous contact with market participants who provide information

concerning various market conditions which we evaluate carefully for our purchasing decisions. Such market data is used in our analysis of current or prospective coal costs to illustrate whether those costs are generally comparable to the market. Because prices are contingent upon current, and to some extent, projected, market conditions and factors unique to each buyer, a simple comparison of coal costs experienced by several purchasers, even electric utilities in the same geographic region, would not itself establish the reasonableness of the prices paid for coal supplies. In the final analysis, there is no single gauge or standard against which to measure the reasonableness of a particular price. Rather, price must reflect the value of the fuel, the supply requirements and quality considerations of the buyer, and the corresponding economic and supply conditions in the marketplace at the time a contract is made. In light of those considerations, the Company has been able to achieve its coal purchasing objective at a reasonable cost to the Company and its customers.

A.

14 Q. SUMMARIZE THE QUANTITY, QUALITY, AND TERM OF THE 15 COMPANY'S COAL CONTRACTS.

During the period March, 1998 through February, 1999, the Company purchased approximately 5.6 million tons of coal under long term and short term contracts which represented approximately 87.9% of the requirement for the Company's five coal-fired stations, GENCO's Williams Station and Savannah River Site. The Company presently has coal under long term contract with 10 suppliers for a minimum of 4.5 million tons annually. For the March, 1999 through February, 2000 period, the Company projects to receive approximately 6.0 million tons of coal with minimum contract tonnage representing approximately 75% of the total receipts. The quality ranges are from 12,000 to 13,100 BTU with a sulfur content of from 0.75% to 2.0%. These contracts are for periods of from two (2) to three (3) years with options to renew or extend for as

1		long as six (6) additional years. The amount of coal under contract will vary from year
2		to year. In some of our coal contracts, we have been successful in negotiating fixed
3		pricing whereby the price is not changed for a fixed period of time, usually for the full
4		term of the contract. In other coal contracts price adjustments are negotiated for
5		predetermined adjustment amounts.
6	Q.	WHAT PRICES HAS THE COMPANY PAID TO COAL PRODUCERS FROM
7		MARCH 1998 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1999?
8	A.	Exhibit No(JWF-1) entitled, "Coal Purchased For Steam Plants", shows
9		the average cost per MBTU of coal purchased in March, 1998 through February, 1999.
10		Based on the long term and short term contracts and the purchases of spot coal during
11		that period, we have seen the producer cost of coal vary in price from a weighted
12		average high of \$1.0055 per MBTU (\$25.30 per ton) in August, 1998 to a weighted
13		average low of \$0.9806 per MBTU (\$24.88 per ton) in October, 1998.
14	Q.	HOW HAVE FREIGHT COSTS VARIED FROM MARCH 1998 THROUGH
15		FEBRUARY 1999?
16	A.	My Exhibit No(JWF-1) shows the average freight costs per MBTU for
17		coal purchased for each month. During that period, the freight costs varied from a
18		weighted average high of \$0.5159 per MBTU (\$12.98 per ton) in August, 1998 to a
19		weighted average low of \$0.4773 per MBTU (\$12.18 per ton) in December, 1998.
20	Q.	HOW HAVE DELIVERED COSTS FOR COAL TO INCLUDE FREIGHT
21		VARIED FROM MARCH 1998 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1999?
22	A.	Exhibit No(JWF-1) shows the average delivered cost per MBTU of coal
23		purchased in March, 1998 through February, 1999. During that period, we have seen
24		the delivered cost of coal vary in price from a weighted average high of \$1.5214 per

1 MBTU (\$38.28 per ton) in August, 1998 to a weighted average low of \$1.4698 per 2 MBTU (\$37.51 per ton) in the month of December, 1998.

3 Q. WHAT FREIGHT RATE CHANGES HAS THE COMPANY EXPERIENCED?

A. During the period under review for this proceeding, the Company experienced no change in its freight rates for the period beginning March, 1998 through February, 1999 for the transportation of coal.

7 Q. HIOW DOES THE COMPANY CONTROL FREIGHT CHARGES?

Á.

We are continually communicating with our freight carriers regarding innovative ways by which we can moderate not only present but also future freight costs for the movement of coal to our Company. The Company is addressing various issues with CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSX) and the Norfolk Southern Corporation (NS) to include increased freight rate discounts, minimized future freight rate adjustments, and increased incentives for additional tonnages moved.

As an example, for the first time in our history, the Company leased and began using its own coal cars in the fourth quarter of 1998 for a portion of our coal deliveries. Three unit train sets of cars are now in operation which will carry 1.5 million tons of coal annually of our 6.0 million ton requirements. The net savings to our customers will be approximately \$1,000,000 annually. We will continue to investigate and take advantage of every opportunity to ensure that our freight costs are at the lowest possible level.

21 Q. HAS SCE&G MADE EVERY REASONABLE EFFORT TO MINIMIZE ITS 22 FUEL PROCUREMENT COSTS?

A. Yes. As outlined above, we have made every reasonable effort to obtain reliable, high quality suppliers of fuel and transportation at the lowest possible cost to our customers.

- 1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 2 A. Yes.

South Carolina Electric & Gas

COAL PURCHASED FOR STEAM PLANTS MARCH 1998 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1999

